## Sole Source Justification Form Part I

Requesting A Name of Req Project Title: Vendor: Fue		Date: 5/13/2013 Bulletin or Reference Number: 22031022 Department/Bureau/Section: Capital Planning,							
Value of Initia	al Term, or if a R	lenewal, Value	of this Renewa	l: \$ 16560		Value is:		☐ Estimated	
Term ☐ One-Time ☑ Term Cor	e Purchase ntract	Proposed S	ole Source Co	ntract Begin Date:	6/10/2013	Proposed	Sole Source	e Contract End Date	: 8/30/2013
Renewals									
# of Potential/Remaining Renewals: N/A			Lengt	h of Each Renewal	l in Months:	Total Value of All/Remaining Renewals: \$			
This is a:	☐ New Sole Source			☐ Change	Order or Ame	er or Amendment to an Existing Sole Source			
	☐ New Sole	Economically F	easible Source	Extension	on or Continua	ation of Vend	lor Respons	sibilities to an Existin	g Contract
☐ Pre-Negotiated Sole Source Renewal									
Professional a	and Artistic?	Yes 🛭 No						or Artistic Services if extend the term by r	
requirements	scription of the s or consideration ork as it was ou	ns established ir	the prior cont	ract. The Capital I	n services and Development B	d to continue Board intend	e warranty, i s to enter in	nsurance, and other ito a sole source cor	liability itract with Fuetz
Funding Select the typ Federal F	e of funding to burners	oe used: State Appropria	ted Funds	☐ State Grant F	funds 🔲	Other (Expl	ain):		
Art or E Compa Critical Federa Item is Items A Media f Necess Organia Radio a Railroa Softwar Other (	Entertainment Seatibility of Equipm Changes to the Il/State Grant Recopyrighted or It to be Procured for Advertising Seary Adjustment zation Members Utility Regulated and Television Bd Crossings/Facre License/Upgrations	ervices or Athletenent, Accessorie Existing Contract Patented and the for Commercial Trial Use or Test of Utility Facilities (Dues, Fee Services Proadcast Rights cilities Alteration ade/Maintenance	cic Events es, Replaceme ect Are Necess ewith Vendor e Item is Only Resale ting es in Conjunct es, Conference es s - Proprietary ee		emplished by the Holder – Cop Construction Mandated Tr	oyright or Pa	tent Numbe		erations from the
				vices in the past?		] No			
If yes, START service, list ea	ING WITH THE ach term, value,	MOST RECEN short descriptio	T CONTRACT n and type of p	AND WORKING Incomplete the contract of the con	BACKWARD, <sup>·</sup> sh:	for the entire	relationshi	p with this vendor fo	rthis supply or
	erm From: /12/2009	10/14/09	5 179117 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Description: Construction Serv	ices			Type: Competitively I Select One	3id

If more than 10 years, explain:

## **Business Rationale**

- Provide a detailed explanation of the need for the supplies or services: Construction services. The freeze proof water hydrants that were installed in this project have not functioned properly since installation. The user would like to replace 3 of the freeze proof hydrants with 3 regular hydrants that are being provided at no cost. The hydrants are needed during the winter months to tend to the livestock.
- Why are the requested supplies or services the only one that can satisfy your requirements? The contractor has done all prior work on site to the water system and is familiar with the system. Fuetz was contracted to do construction services for this project after competitive bid was completed. They have completed most of the work as outlined in the scope of this project.
- What are the unique features of the supplies or services that are not available in any other product or by any other vendor? Provide specific, quantifiable factors/qualifications: The contractor has completed 100% of the construction work for this project. Therefore they have a knowledge of the work that is not available from another contractor. There are also issues with warrenties, certifications, and punch list items. A different contractor would not be responsible for work or materials that said contractor did not install or do. The Capital Development Board has spent a substantial amount of money and time with the vendor that was contracted to do the construction services. This vendor is the only one that can complete the work as outlined in the orignal contract.
- If services, what are the unique qualifications this vendor possesses? Provide specific, measurable factors/qualifications: The contractor has completed 100% of the construction work for this project. Therefore they have a knowledge of the work that is not available from another contractor. There are also issues with warrenties, certifications, and punch list items. A different contractor would not be responsible for work or materials that said contractor did not install or do. The service are nearly complete. The incumbent firm would be the only economically feasible source to finish this construction work given the time and effort they have already put into this project.
- Were alternative supplies or services evaluated? ☐ Yes ☐ No
  - If yes, what were they and why were they unacceptable? Please be specific with regard to features, characteristics, requirements. capabilities and compatibility:
  - 5b. If no, why were alternatives not evaluated? The State would incur additional costs to rebid the work. It would create issues with the warrenties, certifications, and punch list items for work that has been performed and materials that have been installed. It would cost a substantial amount of money that has already been paid to the present vendor plus time lost if another vendor were to start from scratch
- What efforts were made to get the best possible price? Competitive bidding was completed for this project which Fuetz was the low cost vendor.
- 7. Will this purchase obligate the State to this vendor for future purchases such as maintenance, licensing or continuing need? 🗌 Yes 🔃 No 7a. If yes, please provide details regarding future obligations and/or needs:
- 8. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable? CDB competitively bid the original contract
- If this is a renewal, describe why circumstances are such that competitive selection is still not an alternative since awarding the original contract: 9. No not a renewal.
- What will be the financial or other impact to the State if this sole source is not approved and a competitive bid is required? The State of Illinois will 10. incure the additional cost of creating a solicitation and rebidding the remaining work. The State will need to determine exactly what needs to be done and create new bidding documents for the soliciation. This creation of these documents duplicates, to some extent, work that has already been paid for and completed. There is also the very good chance that the process received in any rebid will be higher than the cost quoted. The State of Illinois will incure the additional cost of new contractor to re-do work already paid for causing increased financial burden to the state.

Agency Representative Signature Required								
I know and understand the contents of this Sole Source Justification and attest that all statements are true and correct.								
Jan Colles	James Cockrell	5/21/13						
Agency Representative Signature	Printed Name	Date <sup>/</sup>						
(217) 558-4263	James.Cockrell@illinois.gov							
Agency Representative Telephone Number	Agency Representative Email Address							
SPO Approval and Signature Required								
or o Approval and Signature Required								
MAMA	Christopher Flynn	Trules						
SPO Signature	Printed Name	Date						
/								
217-558-2185	Christoper.Flynn@illinois.gov							

SPO Email Address

SPO Telephone Number